SEP 0 5 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Sunkara et al.)
Filed: November 10, 2003) Examiner: Johnson, Edward) Group Art Unit: 1754
Serial No: 10/705	,687) Gloup Art Ollit. 1754
For: BULK SYNTHESIS OF METAL AND METAL BASED DIELECTRIC NANOWIRES)))
Atty. Docket No. AD138/2001		,)
Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING PLACED IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313, AND DEPOSITED AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE.

PREPAID, THIS 35 DAY OF Despect 2007.

(Signature)

(Typed or Printed Name)

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Dear Sir:

Applicants, by counsel, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.136(a) hereby request a two (2) month extension of time to respond to the Office Action mailed June 28, 2007, which response accompanies this request. A check in payment of the extension of time petition fee is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

09/05/2007 FMETEKI1 00000046 10705687

01 FC:2252

225.00 OP

David W. Carrithers, Esq.

CARRITHERS LAW OFFICE, PLLC

One Paragon Centre

6060 Dutchman's Lane, Ste 140

Louisville, KY 40205

Telephone (502) 452-1233

Reg. No. 35,475

SEP 0 5 207 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEP 0 5 207 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Sunkara et al.

| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared population of: Sunkara et al.
| Compared populatio

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING PLACED IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313, AND DEPOSITED AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE

PREPAID, THIS 30 DAY OF dug 2007.

(Signature)

(Typed or Printed Name)

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed June 28, 2007, Applicant hereby elects claim 5 for examination with traverse.

The Examiner has required restriction and election of a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits.

Applicant elects to prosecute claim 5 and acknowledges the Examiner's withdrawal of claims 1-4 and 6-7 from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

In the present case, although the claimed subject matter may be classified in different subclasses, the inventions are not independent and this classification by itself is an insufficient basis for requiring restriction between the claims. The substrates are all comprised of low melting metals and are so intertwined as to be essentially a single process for providing a novel method of synthesizing bulk nanowires of metal. Applicant submits that the process and products produced therefrom are linked together as one invention and that the combination of the claims are supported for the process and substrates and the one skilled in the art would search in both subclasses.

Applicant respectfully requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn with respect to claims 1-5 and 6-7, and that the claim to the process of synthesizing metal nanowires be examined as claiming one invention.

Respectfully submitted,

David W. Carrithers, Esq.

CARRITHERS LAW OFFICE, PLLC

One Paragon Centre

6060 Dutchman's Lane, Ste 140

Louisville, KY 40205

Telephone (502) 452-1233

Reg. No. 35,475

CARRIE ITTH EIRS LAWY OF FICE ONE PARAGON CENTRE 6060 DUTCHMANS LANE SUITE 140 LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40205 Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

00011401000

haladahammanaman haladahan hala